

# THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,167	03/26/2001	Sohail Baig Mohammed	MSFT-0309/150645.1	8166
7	590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
Woodcock Washburn Kurtz			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, I			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/817,167	09/817,167 MOHAMMED ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pierre E. Elisca	2785	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	app ars on the cov r sh t with	the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty (od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHUE, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commuNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	January 2004		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application	nn		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			٠
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner	·	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		v the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>		140(-) (-1) (0	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	,,,,,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been re	<del></del>	ge
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	eceived	
	and the second second field		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	<b>?</b> )
	3) L. J Giller	•	

Serial Number: 09/817,167 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's Response, filed on 01/02/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-72 are pending.
- 3. The rejection to claims 1-72 under 35 U.S.C 102 (e) as being anticipated by Downs et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,574,609) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 1/021/2003 is maintained., see below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371° of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Downs et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,574,609).

As per claims 1, 4-13, 49, and 52-66 Downs discloses a right management in the secure digital content electronic distribution system that is implemented through a set of

**Serial Number: 09/817,167** 

Art Unit: 3621

functions distributed among the operating components of the system, comprising the

Page 3

steps of:

( ¹

hosting, by the rendering application, a browser; causing, by the rendering application,

the browser to navigate to a license server (see., abstract, specifically col 7, lines 22-64,

col 66, lines 3450, license 660, fig 6);

allowing a user to communicate with the license server by way of the hosted browser to

acquire the license (see., abstract, col 66, lines 22-67, fig 6);

receiving the license from the license server; and shutting down, by the hosting

rendering application, the hosted browser upon receiving the license (see., col 66, lines

34-67, specifically wherein it is stated that signature of the license can be verified to

determine if it is a valid license. If it is a valid license either the download is initiated, or

the download request may be redirected (redirected or shutting down) to another

content).

As per claims 2, and 50 Downs discloses the claimed method of causing the browser to

navigate to a license server based on information received by the rendering application

from the DRM (see., abstract, col 1, lines 62-67, col 6, lines 59-67, col 7, lines 1-64).

As per claims 3, 51 Downs discloses the claimed method of receiving information

necessary to acquire the license including a site identifier identifying a license server

Serial Number: 09/817,167 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

and causing the browser to navigate to the license server based on the site identifier (see., col 66, lines 27-64, col 65, lines 3-40).

As per claims 14-24, Downs discloses a right management in the secure digital content

electronic distribution system that is implemented through a set of functions distributed

among the operating components of the system, comprising the steps of:

hosting, by the rendering application, a browser; causing, by the rendering application,

the browser to navigate to a license server (see., abstract, specifically col 7, lines 22-64,

col 66, lines 34-50, license 660, fig 6);

attempt, by the DRM system, to silently acquire the license from a license server without

the intervention of a user (see., abstract, col 66, lines 22-67, fig 6);

if the attempt to silently acquire the license fails, allowing a user to attempt to acquire

the license from a license server by way of a browser hosted by the rendering

application (see., col 66, lines 34-67, specifically wherein it is stated that signature of

the license can be verified to determine if it is a valid license. If it is a valid license either

the download is initiated, or the download request may be redirected (redirected or

attempt) to another content).

Application/Control Number: 09/817,167

Art Unit: 2785

As per claims 25-48, and 67-72 Downs discloses the claimed limitation of having

Page 2

operating thereon a rendering application for rendering digital content and a digital

rights managements system for authorizing such rendering based on a corresponding

digital license, the rendering application and the DRM system for effectuating

acquisition of such license upon the rendering application requesting the DRM system

for authorization for such rendering based on such license and upon the DRM system

notifying the rendering application that such license is not available on the computing

device, the rendering application hosting a browser and causing the browser to navigate

to a license server to allow a user to communicate with the license server by way of the

hosted browser to acquire the license, the rendering application shutting down the

hosted browser upon receiving the license from the license server (see., abstract,

specifically col 7, lines 22-64, col 66, lines 34-50, license 660, fig 6, col 66, lines 34-67,

specifically wherein it is stated that signature of the license can be verified to determine

if it is a valid license. If it is a valid license either the download is initiated, or the

download request may be redirected (redirected or shutting down) to another content.

**RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS** 

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/02/2003 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

REMARKS

Application/Control Number: 09/817,167

Art Unit: 2785

7. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the Downs reference fails to disclose:

Page 3

a. the browser to navigate to a license server. Based upon foregoing rejection indicated above, it is believed that Downs discloses this limitation in the abstract, col 7, lines 22-64, specifically wherein said control and enforcement of content usage according to the conditions of purchase or license, such as permitted number of copies, number of plays, and the time interval or term the license may be valid. Applicant should note that with the proper licensing authorization the users are capable of browsing or navigate to the licenser server, fig 1D.

b. acquiring a digital license that authorizes rendering of corresponding digital content. As indicated above, Downs discloses this limitation see., col 7, lines 22-65, rights management in the secure digital content and licensing authorization.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/817,167

Art Unit: 2785

incontrol Number. 09/017, 10

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-

3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

March 09, 2004